

WORT: Write Optimal Radix Tree for Persistent Memory Storage Systems

Se Kwon Lee

K. Hyun Lim¹, Hyunsub Song, Beomseok Nam, Sam H. Noh UNIST ¹Hongik University



Persistent Memory (PM)

Persistent memory is expected to replace both DRAM & NAND

	NAND	STT-MRAM	РСМ	DRAM
Non-volatility	Ο	О	0	x
Read (ns)	2.5 X 10⁴	5 - 30	20 – 70	10
Write (ns)	2 X 10 ⁵	10 - 100	150 - 220	10
Byte-addressable	Х	Ο	0	0
Density	185.8 Gbit/cm ²	0.36 Gbit/cm ²	13.5 Gbit/cm ²	9.1 Gbit/cm ²

K. Suzuki and S. Swanson. "A Survey of Trends in Non-Volatile Memory Technologies: 2000-2014", IMW 2015



Indexing Structure for PM Storage Systems









Consistency Issue of B+tree in PM

B+tree is a block-based index

- Key sorting \rightarrow Block granularity write
- Rebalancing \rightarrow Multi-blocks granularity write

Persistent memory

- Byte-addressable \rightarrow Byte granularity write
- Write reordering

Can result in consistency problem



Consistency Issue of B+tree in PM

Traditional case



Next-generation Embedded / Computer System Software Technology

Consistency Issue of B+tree in PM

PM case





Durability

- CLFLUSH (Flush cache line)
 - Can be reordered

Ordering

- MFENCE (Load and Store fence)
 - Order CPU cache line flush instructions









Atomicity

- 8-byte failure atomicity
 - Need only CLFLUSH
- Logging or CoW based atomicity (more than 8 bytes)
 - Requires duplicate copies



Non-volatile	
Log area	Data area



Logging increases cache line flush overhead



How can we ensure consistency using failure-atomic writes without logging?

Unsorted keys → Append-only with metadata Failure-atomic update of metadata





Unsorted key \rightarrow Decreases search performance



Logging still necessary

- Multi-block granularity updates due to node splits and merges
 - Cannot update atomically



- Logging-based solution

 wB+Tree, FPTree
- Tree reconstruction based solution
 - NVTree









Why use B+ trees in the first place?

Perhaps there is a better tree data structure more suited for PM?



Our Contributions

Show Radix Tree is a suitable data structure for PM

Propose optimal radix tree variant WORT

- WORT: Write Optimal Radix Tree
 - Optimal: maintain consistency only with single failure-atomic write without any duplicate copies



Deterministic structure





Deterministic structure

• No key comparison





Deterministic structure

- No key comparison
 - Only 8-byte pointer entries
 - Implicitly stored keys





Deterministic structure

- No key comparison
 - Only 8-byte pointer entries
 - Implicitly stored keys
 - No problem caused by key sorting





Deterministic structure

- No key comparison
 - Only 8-byte pointer entries
 - Implicitly stored keys
 - No problem caused by key sorting



- Single 8-byte pointer write per node
- Easy to use failure-atomic write





Problem of Deterministic Structure

For sparse key distribution

• Waste excessive memory space \rightarrow Optimized through path compression



Path Compression in Radix Tree

Path compression

• Search paths that do not need to be distinguished can be removed





Path Compression in Radix Tree

Path compression

- Common search path is compressed in header
- Improve memory utilization & indexing performance





Path compression split





Path compression split





Path compression split





Path compression split





Path compression Problem in PM



Consistency Issue of Path Compression

Path compression split

- cause updates of multiple nodes
- have to employ expensive logging methods





Path compression Solution



- Failure-atomic path compression
 - Add node depth field to compression header





- Failure-atomic path compression
 - Add node depth field to compression header





- Failure-atomic path compression
 - Add node depth field to compression header





Failure-atomic path compression

- Failure detection in WORT
 - Depth in a header \neq Counted depth \rightarrow Crashed header





Failure-atomic path compression

- Failure recovery in WORT
 - Compression header can be reconstructed \rightarrow Atomically overwrite





Write Optimal Data Structure for PM

Our proposed radix tree variant is optimal for PM

 Consistency is always guaranteed with a single 8-byte failure-atomic write without any additional copies for logging or CoW





Experimental environment

System configuration

	Description
CPU	Intel Xeon E5-2620V3 X 2
OS	Linux CentOS 6.6 (64bit) kernel v4.7.0
PM	Emulated with 256GB DRAM Write latency: Injecting additional stall cycles



Experimental environment

Comparison group





Experimental environment

Synthetic Workload Characteristics





Insertion performance

WORT outperform the B+tree variants in general





Insertion performance

- WORT outperform the B+tree variants in general
 - DRAM-based internal node \rightarrow more favorable performance for FPTree





Insertion performance

- WORT vs wB+Tree
 - Performance differences increase in proportion to write latency





CLFLUSH count per operation

• B-tree variants incur more cache flush instructions



Search performance

• WORT always perform better than B+Tree variants



ITIST SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND CISSRA NECSST Next-generation Embedded / Computer System Software Technology

Conclusion

- Showed suitability of radix tree as PM indexing structure
- Proposed optimal radix tree variant WORT
 - Optimal: maintain consistency only with single failure-atomic write without any duplicate copies



